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## Me and My <br> Program Landscape



- Me
- 40+ years of experience at a Minority Serving Institute
- BS and MS in Biology; PhD Environmental Science and Engineering
- Plants, Butterflies, and other cool stuff!
- UT San Antonio (UTSA)
- 34,864 students
- Hispanic Serving Institute, serving 20,739 Hispanic students (59\%)
- 45\% are First Gen
- MS in Environmental Science
- Demographics like University's
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## UEA

## Pre-assessment and evaluation



## IDENTIFICATION OF PROBLEM

## REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE <br> REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE



ESTABLISHING THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

## Steps in Assessment and Evaluation
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## Step 1 - Define <br> Program <br> Objectives

## 1) What are you aiming to achieve?

## 2) What outcomes are you hoping to see?

## Specific

- Increase student engagement
- Increase students' self efficacy
- Improve content learning
- Improve science communication
- Develop leadership skills
- Increase faculty understanding of mentoring URM students


## Broad

- Increase science identity
- Increase persistence and graduation
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## Step 2 Establish Assessment Criteria
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## Step 3

- Map each proposed activity to
 project outcomes and determine assessment instrument
- Example Activity:
- Train faculty and staff on holistic mentoring
- Mapped to improving faculty mentoring of URM
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## Step 4 - Determine Data Collection Methods and Collect Data
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Step 5 - Analyze Data
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## Step 6 - Interpret Findings
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## Step 7 - Make Recommendations



## Targeted

## Steps in Assessment and Evaluation



## Step 8 - Develop Action Plan
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## Step 9 - Implement changes

MODIFYING PROGRAM COMPONENTS


REALLOCATING RESOURCES


REDESIGNING PROCESSES
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## Monitoring, Communicating and Continuous Improvement



## Lessons Learned



FORMING A TEAM WHICH ENSURES ALL ASPECTS OF THE PROGRAM CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED


DESIGNING GRANT ACTIVITIES THAT WILL SUPPORT YOUR OBJECTIVES


CONTINUOUS MONITORING


FINDING WAYS TO IMPLEMENT CHANGES THAT CAN BE SUSTAINABLE


EARNING THE SUPPORT OF THOSE IN CONTROL OF RESOURCES


 AND DO NOT NECESSARILY REFLECT THE VIEWS OF THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION.

## Our Project

## Problem

- Students changing from thesis to non-thesis
- Lack of communication skills
- Imposture syndrome
- Develop science identity
- Holistic mentoring
- Writing-to-learn pedagogy
- Developing science communication


## Objectives

## Our conceptual framework



## Research science identity is the outgrowth

- Training in science
- Science writing
- Science mentoring
- Close mentoring
- Persistence and graduation
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## Establishing the Conceptual Framework

DEFINE CONCEPTS


ESTABLISH RELATIONSHIPS


SET BOUNDARIES



PROVIDE CONTEXT


GUIDE YOUR RESEARCH DESIGN
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